

From: [REDACTED]
To: [A303 Stonehenge](#)
Subject: Objections
Date: 07 March 2022 22:08:53

Good Morning

I was appalled to see that in the "revised" submissions regarding the A303 Stonehenge planning application National Highways seems simply to have resubmitted the same plans in the hope that the objectors will get fed up and go away allowing the application to go through without a fuss.

National Highways have NOT looked at alternate routes for the road, nor taken the 2021 World Heritage Committee Decision into account in any way. By, essentially, just pursuing the same route and concept as previously this appears to be an attempt to bully through a proposal that is immensely unpopular with the general public and *will*, that's *will* not 'might' or 'possibly', damage a listed World Heritage site and an unknown amount of Archaeology and history. The important point is that once damaged this site cannot be recovered and to destroy this much history for a short-term 'gain' is both unjustifiable and unforgiveable.

You MUST say no to this route and tell National Highways to go back and find another route, any other decision will be looked at askance and in horror in probably less than a couple of decades.

Thankyou

Stephen Ede-Borrett
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

"For really I thinke that the poorest hee that is in England hath a life to live, as the greatest hee, and therefore Truly Sr I thinke itt clear that every Man that is to live undr a Government ought first by his own Consent to put himself under that Government; and I do think that the poorest man in England is not at all bound in a strict sense to that Government that he hath not had a voice to put Himself under"

Thomas Rainborowe, c1610-1648